



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/635,252

08/06/2003

Thomas B. Zingale

G08.026/U

9453

28062

7590

11/15/2007

BUCKLEY, MASCHOFF & TALWALKAR LLC

50 LOCUST AVENUE

NEW CANAAN, CT 06840

EXAMINER

AUGUSTINE, NICHOLAS

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2179

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/15/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/635,252	Applicant(s) ZINGALE ET AL.	
	Examiner Nicholas Augustine	Art Unit 2179	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 August 2007.
 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 13, 15-22 and 24-37 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) ☒ Claim(s) 13, 15-22 and 24-37 is/are rejected.
 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 08/06/2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

- A. This action is in response to the following communications: Amendment filed: 08/23/2007. This action is made **Final**.
- B. Claims 13,15-22 and 24-37 remain pending.

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because in figure 18 the text within the figure is misleading saying the selectable elements are to the right when they are depicted as to the left of the user interface. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are

not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Note: The term "corporate entity" as disclosed in the specification is merely directed towards an entity which is a selectable element presented in a graphical user interface. For purposes of this rejection the term "corporate" is non-functional descriptive material in that any entity will suffice for purposes of showing the disclosed function in the cited prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 13,15-22 and 24-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barros, Barbara (US 6307573), herein referred to as Barros.

As for independent claim 13, Barros teaches a method comprising:

presenting a plurality of selectable corporate entities (col.16, lines 20-23) and a plurality of selectable elements wherein a first one of the plurality of corporate entities is organizationally upstream or organizationally downstream from a second one of the plurality of entities and is graphically presented as being organizationally upstream or

Art Unit: 2179

organizationally downstream from the second one of the plurality of entities (col.17, lines 1-8); receiving a selection of the one the second corporate entity(col.18, line 33); receiving a selection of one of the plurality of selectable elements (col.18, line 40); determining information corresponding to the one selected element with and relating to the selected second corporate entity and presenting the determined information to a user (col.18, line 41).

As for dependent claim 15, Barros teaches a method according to Claim 13, wherein the determined information comprises one or more of: information relating to a formation of the second corporate entity; a location of corporate documents relating to the second corporate entity; contact information relating to the second corporate entity; ownership information relating to the second corporate entity; information relating to regulators of the second corporate entity; information relating to officers of the second corporate entity; information relating to jurisdictions in which the second corporate entity is qualified to do business; information relating to reports filed or to be filed on behalf of the second corporate entity; controllers information relating to the second corporate entity; and funds information relating to the second corporate entity (fig.6c and 7de, wherein the user can select more than one category during execution of system).

Art Unit: 2179

As for dependent claim 16, Barros teaches a method according to Claim 13, further comprising: receiving instructions to generate a document including document information relating to the selected second corporate entity; determining the document information; generating the document; and presenting the document to the user (fig.7de).

As for dependent claim 17, Barros teaches a method according to Claim 13, further comprising: receiving instructions to edit the determined information; determining whether the user is authorized to edit the determined information; and editing the determined information if it is determined that the user is authorized to edit the determined information (col.4, line 24).

As for dependent claim 18, Barros teaches a method according to Claim 17, further comprising: associating information identifying the user with the edited information (col.4, lines 18-24).

As for dependent claim 19, Barros teaches a method according to Claim 17, further comprising: presenting an indication that the determined information is in the process of being edited (col.9 , lines 25-45).

As for dependent claim 20, Barros teaches a method according to Claim 13, further comprising: associating information identifying a date on which the information was edited with the edited information (col.25-45).

As for dependent claim 21, Barros teaches a method according to Claim 13, further comprising: receiving a request for an image of a document relating to the selected second corporate entity; and presenting the image to the user (col.9, lines 10-19).

As for independent claims 22 and 31, Barros teaches a computer-readable medium storing processor-executable process steps and corresponding apparatus to manage corporate entities, the process steps and apparatus comprising: a step to present a plurality of selectable corporate entities and a plurality of selectable elements, wherein a first one of the plurality of corporate entities is organizationally upstream or organizationally downstream from a second one of the plurality of entities and is graphically presented as being organizationally upstream or organizationally downstream from the second one of the plurality of entities and an

a step to receive a selection of the second corporate entity; a step to receive a selection of one of the plurality of selectable elements; a step to determine information corresponding to the one selected element and relating to the selected second

associated corporate entity and a step to present the determined information to a user (note the analysis of claim 1 above).

As for independent claims 24 and 32, Barros teaches a computer-readable medium according to Claim 22, wherein the determined information comprises one or more of: information relating to a formation of the second corporate entity; a location of corporate documents relating to the second corporate entity; contact information relating to the second corporate entity; ownership information relating to the second corporate entity; information relating to regulators of the second corporate entity; information relating to officers of the second corporate entity; information relating to jurisdictions in which the second corporate entity is qualified to do business; information relating to reports filed or to be filed on behalf of the second corporate entity; controllers information relating to the second corporate entity; and funds information relating to the second corporate entity (note the analysis of claim 1 above, it is noted that the user can select more than one category for viewing information pertaining to the currently selected category, fig.6c and 7de).

As for dependent claims 25 and 33, Barros teaches a computer-readable medium according to Claim 22, the process steps further comprising: a step to receive instructions to generate a document including document information relating to the

Art Unit: 2179

second corporate entity; a step to determine the document information;
a step to generate the document; and a step to present the document to the user
(fig.5a-b).

As for dependent claims 26 and 34, Barros teaches a computer-readable medium according to Claim 22, the process steps further comprising: a step to receive instructions to edit the determined information; a step to determine whether the user is authorized to edit the determined information; and a step to edit the determined information if it is determined that the user is authorized to edit the determined information (col.9,lines 10-45).

As for dependent claims 27 and 35, Barros teaches a computer-readable medium according to Claim 26, the process steps further comprising: a step to associate information identifying the user with the edited information (col.9, lines 1-45).

As for dependent claims 28 and 36, Barros teaches a computer-readable medium according to Claim 26, the process steps further comprising: a step to present an indication that the determined information is in the process of being edited (col.9,lines 10-18).

As for dependent claims 29, Barros teaches a computer-readable medium according to Claim 22, the process steps further comprising: a step to associate information identifying a date on which the information was edited with the edited information (col.4, lines 18-25 and col.9, lines 10-45).

As for dependent claims 30 and 37, Barros teaches a computer-readable medium according to Claim 22, the process steps further comprising:

a step to receive a request for an image of a document relating to the corporate entity; and a step to present the image to the user (col.9, lines 10-18).

(Note:) It is noted that any citation to specific, pages, columns, lines, or figures in the prior art references and any interpretation of the references should not be considered to be limiting in any way. A reference is relevant for all it contains and may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art. In re Heck, 699 F.2d 1331, 1332-33, 216 USPQ 1038, 1039 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (quoting In re Lemelson, 397 F.2d 1006, 1009, 158 USPQ 275, 277 (CCPA 1968)).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13,15-22 and 24-37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Inquires

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas Augustine whose telephone number is 571-270-1056. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday: 7:30- 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on 571-272-4847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2179

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nicholas Augustine
Examiner
AU: 2179

N. Augustine
November 9, 2007

BA HUYNH
PRIMARY EXAMINER